

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/137,822

Applicant(s)

NAESBY, MICHAEL

Examiner

Jeanine A Goldberg

Art Unit

1634

All Participants:

(1) Jeanine A Goldberg.

(2) Hans Crosby.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 January 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

J. Goldberg
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called to indicate that after reviewing the art and the claims, claims drawn to 2 or more probe C's would be allowable. However, several claims pending did not require this limitation. The applicant indicated that he wished for an action setting forth any rejections deemed appropriate by the examiner..